,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/665,467	BOATWRIGHT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Penrose Albright</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 September 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	•	
Claims discussed: all	•	
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
•		
/F	/Annligant's Dansagartation C	ignoture if energyists
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending the claims to include the use of a second adhesive which is applied after the binding material is applied to the carpet as argued in the delcaration and applicant agreed. Applicant also agreed to amend the claims to fix 112, 2nd problems. Applicant faxed in amended claim pages..

.